

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 09/982,928 10/22/2001 | | Steven M. Knowles | 10765-015001 | 8524 | | |
| 75 | 7590 11/03/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP | | | FLANDRO, RYAN M | | | |
| 1330 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | , | | 3679 | | | |
| • | | | DATE MAILED: 11/03/200- | DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/982,92 | 28 | KNOWLES, STEVEN M. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | - | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Ryan M F | | 3679 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any - | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION masions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the state od will apply and witute, cause the app | ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI | nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | /. ommunication. | | | |
| Status | | | | 1 | | | | |
| 1)[🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 August 2004</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | ·-···· | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,7-10,12 and 41-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,7-10, 12 and 41-43 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | • | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 December 2002</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the | s/are: a)⊠ a∈ he drawing(s) b ection is requir | ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | | |
| 3) Infon | te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 ir No(s)/Mail Date | 08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate ratent Application (PTC |)-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/982,928

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Objections

2. In light of Applicant's amendments to the claims, the objections set forth in the previous Office action (mailed 5/10/04) have been overcome. The objections are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1, 7-10, 12 and 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable U.S. over Coutu (Us 1,914,736) in view of Morrison (US 1,532,195). The rejections set forth in the previous Office action (mailed 5/10/04) are incorporated herein by reference.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments (at pages 5-6 of the response filed 8/6/2004) with regard to the rejection of claims 1, 7-10, 12 and 41-43 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, the Examiner notes that one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the *rejections are based on combinations of references*. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). In this case, Coutu discloses only that the retaining ring 8 compresses the seal 5,11 by threadedly attaching to an outer surface of the socket 1. The Examiner agrees with Applicant's argument



that Coutu, by itself, does not disclose or teach the retaining ring 8 threadably connecting to a surface of the socket adjacent to the central fluid conductor (i.e., on an inner surface of the socket). In view of the teachings of Morrison set forth in the previous rejection, however, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the obvious equivalence of connecting the retaining ring on the outer or inner surfaces of the socket.

Furthermore, Applicant suggests that Coutu does not describe or teach a central fluid conductor that couples a first ball and a second ball. On the contrary, and as pointed out in the previous Office action, Coutu shows and discloses ball 13a having a threaded female connection portion 14a (see e.g. figure 2) and ball 13 having a threaded male connection portion 14 (see e.g. figure 3). Therefore, the structure taught by Coutu allows connection between balls 13 and 13a via connecting portions 14, 14a to create a "central fluid conductor that couples a first ball and a second ball" as recited in the claims.

The references, when considered together, teach each and every limitation set forth in the rejected claims. Accordingly, the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/982,928

Art Unit: 3679

Page 4

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ryan M Flandro whose telephone number is (703) 305-6952.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:30pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Daniel J. Stodola can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RME

October 28, 2004

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

aniel P Stodola